1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MICHAEL A. ISAACS (SBN 99782) michael.isaacs@dentons.com ANDREW S. AZARMI (SBN 241407) andrew.azarmi@dentons.com DENTONS US LLP One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 267-4000 Facsimile: (415) 267-4198 Attorneys for JANINA M. HOSKINS, Chapter 7 PETER BONIS (SBN 122016) LAW OFFICES OF PETER BONIS 1990 California Street, 8th Floor Walnut Creek, California 94596 Telephone: (925) 287-6428	Trustee	
10 11 12 13 14	peter@bonislaw.com Attorneys for Defendants MONICA HUJAZI AND JAQUELINE M. ZUERCHER UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17 18 19	In re MONICA H. HUJAZI, Debtor.	Case No: 13-30477 HLB Chapter 7 Hon. Hannah L. Blumenstiel	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	JANINA M. HOSKINS, Trustee, Plaintiff v. MONICA HUJAZI, Defendant.	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT (FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f) REPORT AND DISCOVERY PLAN) Date: March 8, 2018 Time: 2:00 pm Place: Courtroom 19 450 Golden Gate Ave, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102	
27 28			

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rule 7026, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(f), and Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 16, Plaintiff-Trustee Janina Hoskins ("Trustee") and Defendant-Debtor Monica Hujazi ("Debtor") (collectively, the "Parties") have met and conferred and hereby submit their Joint Case Management Statement.

1. Jurisdiction/Venue

- This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding under 28 U.S.C. §§ 151, 157(b)(2), and 1334, and under Rule 5011-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the Northern District of California.
- There are no known issues with personal jurisdiction.
- All named parties have been served, and there are no known outstanding issues with service.

2. Factual Summary of Case

This case concerns the Trustee's request that the Court deny bankruptcy discharge of Monica H. Hujazi.

a. Trustee's position:

Debtor has taken multiple actions which violate 11 U.S.C. § 727. After the Order for Relief was entered, the Debtor:

Debtor has taken multiple actions which violate 11 U.S.C. § 727. After the Order for Relief was entered, the Debtor:

- Attempted to sell real property located at 2400 Filbert, Oakland, CA 94607 to a third-party buyer without the Trustee or the Court's permission or knowledge. The Debtor's attempted sale fell through but is well-documented, including multiple written offers and counteroffers. The Trustee discovered the Debtor's efforts to sell the property through her own investigation during her efforts to sell the property;
- Attempted to lease the same real property located at 2400 Filbert, Oakland, CA, through one of her d/b/a companies without the Trustee or the Court's permission or knowledge;
 - Created a tenancy and accepted a security deposit and rent payments for that

3

8

9

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

tenancy in the same real property located at 2400 Filbert, Oakland, CA, without the Trustee or the Court's permission or knowledge.

- Misrepresented in her Schedule of Statement of Financial Affairs that, "to the best of [her] knowledge," she did not transfer any property to a self-settled trust or a similar device of which she is a beneficiary, an untrue statement. In truth, she transferred significant assets to the Monica Hujazi Trust Dated January 23, 2008, which for all practical and legal purposes was a self-settled trust.
- Deposited multiple checks made payable to the "Monica Hujazi Trust" into her personal checking account, none of which were disclosed to the Trustee or the Court.
- Concealed a 2006 Mercedes McLaren and hindered the Trustee's ability to capture and liquidate that asset.

b. **Debtor's position:**

- Ms. Hujazi's attempt to sell the Filbert property violated no laws and was not illegal.
- Ms. Hujazi's attempt to lease the Filbert property was lawful:
- No tenancy as created at the Filbert property
- The property referred to in the Schedule of Financial Affairs was transferred *prior* to the deadline for transfer of such property. This matter was litigated and decided in front of Judge Montali.
- The checks made payable to the "Monica Hujazi Trust" into her personal checking account, are not the property of the bankruptcy estate because they are property of her deceased mother's estate, the death occurred after the filing of the bankruptcy.
- Debtor did not conceal a 2006 Mercedes McLaren and hindered the Trustee's ability to capture and liquidate that asset.

3. <u>Legal Issues</u>

The controlling disputed legal issues are:

Whether the Debtor has knowingly and fraudulently received and attempted

ESI when discovery has opened in this matter.

d.

26

27

28

4

Limitations on or Modifications of the Discovery Rules

The parties do not anticipate any changes to the limitations in discovery proposed by the

Federal Rule or Local Rules at this time.

e. Rule 26(f)(3) discovery plan.

26(f)(3)(A): No changes to the initial disclosures.

26(f)(3)(B): Discovery shall be on the full scope of merits, and as allowed under the FRCP

with the usual limits based on relevance, proportionality, privilege and otherwise. The Parties

6 proposed discovery completion date is set forth below.

7 26(f)(3)(C): No notable issues or obstacles were presented or are anticipated in relation to

8 discovery or ESI.

1

2

3

4

5

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

26(f)(3)(D): The Parties agree that privileged materials shall be returned or destroyed upon

10 discovery by either Party, at the request of either party in writing by email or letter, for the

11 duration of the litigation. Any dispute over whether particular information is privileged shall be

12 decided by this Court as required in accordance with the FRBP, FRCP, FRE, Northern District

13 Local Rules and any standing orders or required procedure of this Court.

26(f)(3)(E): No changes or modifications to the requirements of the FRCP and Local Rules.

26(f)(3)(F): No such orders are needed at this time.

9. Class actions.

This action is not a class action.

10. Related cases.

This adversary proceeding is related to the main case *In re Monica Hujazi*, Case No. 13-30477 HLB, in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California. It is also related to the associated *Janina Hoskins v. Monica Hujazi*, Adv. Proc. No. 17-3079 (to set aside the Monica Hujazi Trust Dated January 23, 2008).

A creditor in the case, Michael Grodsky, has also filed an adversary proceeding against the Debtor seeking to deny the Debtor's discharge. *Grodsky v. Hujazi*, Adversary Proc. No. 17-03088.

11. Relief sought.

a. Complaint.

Trustee's Complaint seeks a judgment denying the Debtor a discharge under 11 U.S.C. §

727(a)(2) and (a)(4) and costs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. Settlement and ADR.

The parties are amenable to the Bankruptcy Dispute Resolution Program, pursuant to Rule 9040-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the Northern District of California.

13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes.

Not applicable.

14. Other references.

This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

15. Narrowing of Issues.

The parties are not aware of any issues that can be narrowed at this time.

16. Expedited Trial Procedure

The parties do not believe that this is the type of case that can be handled on an expedited Basis.

17. Scheduling

The Parties suggest the following schedule, subject to the Court's schedule:

Discovery cutoff: August 24, 2018

Final motion hearing date: October 5, 2018

Final pretrial conference date: January 7, 2019

Trial Date: January 22, 2019

Expert deadlines will be based off of the FRCP and Local Rules.

18. <u>Trial</u>

The parties anticipate a one (1) day trial based on the current scope of the case.

19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons.

The parties are unaware of any non-party persons, firms, partnerships, corporations, or other entities that either have a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or any other kind of interest that could substantially be affected by the outcome of the proceeding.

1	20. <u>Professional Conduct.</u>		
2	All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional		
3	Conduct for the Northern District of California.		
4	21. Other Matters.		
5	None at this time.		
6		AMONG NG VA	
7	DATED: February 21, 2018 DEN	NTONS US LLP	
8			
9	By:	/s/ Andrew Azarmi	
10		ANDREW S. AZARMI Attorneys for Plaintiff JANINA M. HOSKINS,	
11		Trustee in Bankruptcy	
12	DATED: February 21, 2018 LAW OFFICES OF PETER BONIS		
13	III		
14			
15	By:	/s/ Peter Bonis PETER BONIS	
16	Attorneys for Defendants MONICA HUJAZI AN JAQUELINE M. ZUERCHER		
17		JAQUELINE W. ZUERCHER	
18	ATTESTATION CLAUSE		
19	I, Andrew S. Azarmi, hereby attest in accordance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) that each		
20	signatory has concurred in the filing of this document.		
21	organically has concurred in the ming of this document.		
22	DATED: February 21, 2018 DEN	NTONS US LLP	
23			
24	By:	/s/ Andrew Azarmi	
25		ANDREW S. AZARMI	
26	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JANINA M. HOSKINS, Trustee in Bankruptcy	
27			
28			